

Lodge:	No	_ District
Located at:		

The Grand Master appoints District officers, each with distinct and important responsibilities for building a vibrant fraternity. The officers in a District need to work as a team, endeavoring in their respective areas of responsibility to enrich Freemasonry, as well as the value of membership in District Lodges. They are also charged with the responsibility for assisting Lodge officers and reviewing Lodge performance. Many aspects of the Lodge's operations are to be reviewed when considering the overall strength of a Lodge, to include its leadership, planning, finances, meeting facilities, ritual delivery, Masonic education programs, community involvement and, most importantly, the perceived **Value of Membership** as exemplified by member involvement in Lodge meetings, fraternal and social activities, and other opportunities for fraternal relations and personal growth.

Pages two and three of the integrated Lodge review are to be submitted to the Grand Secretary immediately following the completion of the District Deputy Grand Master's (DDGM) Official Visitation of a Lodge, and page 4 is due to the Grand Secretary by January 31. The other pages are to be retained by the DDGM.

The Lodge Review Summary should take into consideration the reviews made by District officers on their scheduled visitations and otherwise throughout the year, as well as the Lodge's participation in District, Grand Lodge and Maine Masonic College activities, and the Lodge's activities in pursuit of the Masonic Excellence Award and other awards.

Lodge Review Summary (example)

	Primary	
Lodge Review Factor	Reviewer	Rating *
Lodge leadership and operations	DDGM	8
Lodge vision and planning (including Excellence Award)	DDGM	2
Ritual proficiency: words, delivery, floor work & education	DDGM/AGL/DRI	6
Candidate and membership development & retention	DDGM	4
Lodge education programs and participation in Grand Lodge and Maine Masonic		
College education programs	DER	7
Fraternal and social programs	DDGM	5
Community presence and charity	DDGM	8
OVERALL LODGE RATING		

* Use a scale of one to ten, ten being the best

Guidance follows for assigning ratings for the above review factors. The reviewer should conclude what "1 to 10" rating seems to best fit the Lodge, and then support that rating with commentary on observations made during the course of the review period.

It is suggested that a copy of this rating report be printed by the DDGM at the beginning of the year for each Lodge, and that comments be added during the year for each review category in the space provided under "Rating based upon the following observations."

The DDGM should also meet with the District Mentoring Coordinator (whom he appoints), DER, and the AGL/DRI at the beginning of the year and right after the Grand Lodge Annual Communication to coordinate their respective activities. It is recommended that they meet quarterly to review their activities, identify issues and prepare action plans, and raise concerns and other important matters privately with the Lodge Master, as well as the assigned elected Grand Lodge officer and, as appropriate, the Grand Master.

It is recommended that the DDGM explain the review methodology with the Lodge officers (perhaps at a District Officers Association meeting) and offer the District team's assistance to the Lodges. You may provide a copy of the review form to Lodge officers.

The DDGM should use these rating summaries of Lodges in his District, as well as evaluations of District-wide activities, when preparing the Annual District Report due to the Grand Secretary by March 15.

Rev 5/25/2016



PAGES TWO AND THREE ARE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE GRAND SECRETARY INMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING THE OFFICIAL VISITATION

Lodge:		No Dist	rict
Located at:	Official Visitation on		_, <u>20</u>
L	odge Inspection Summary		
The Charter and/or Certificate of Charte	r were present and are properly mainta	ained. [] yes	[] no
The books of the Secretary were presen	t and are well maintained.	[] yes	[<u> </u>] no
The books of the Treasurer were presen	t and are in order.	[] yes	[] no
Bills are approved by the Master and the	e Committee on Finance before being p	aid. [] yes	[] no
Accounts of the Lodge are audited annu	ally by the Finance Committee.	[] yes	[] no
The annual reports of the Lodge were sp	oread in full upon the records.	[] yes	[<u> </u>] no
The Lodge has a "current" copy of the "L the Tyler's Book. It is dated		vn as [] yes	[] no
There have been changes to the by-laws	during the past year.	[] yes	[] no
The by-law changes were properly prese and approved by Grand Lodge.	ented to and voted upon by Lodge mem	bers, [] yes	[] no
At least the top five elected officers have Lodge by-laws.	e an up-to-date version of the approved	[] yes	[<u> </u>] no
The Lodge has four up-to-date copies of Regulations in the hands of the Master,	G	nding [] yes	[<u> </u>] no
The Lodge and, if applicable, the Building (Request to see the filed report and reco	-	[] yes	[] no



Lodge Statistical Abstract

Lodge:			_ No	District
Located at:		_		
Lodge Tax I.D.		Building Assn. Tax I.D.		
Number of Stated C	Communications held di	uring the past twelve months		
Number of Special (Communications held d	uring the past twelve months		
Average attendance register)	e (as evidenced by Lodge	e minutes and member / visitor		
Number of meeting	s attended by:			
Master				
Senior Warden				
Junior Warden				
Secretary				
Senior Deacon				
Junior Deacon				
Lodge income durin	g the most recently cor	npleted fiscal year		
Lodge expenses dur	ing the most recently c	ompleted fiscal year		
Amount in Charity Fund at the end of the most recent fiscal year		\$		
Amount expended for relief during year		\$		
Amount expended for charity other than relief		\$		
		ate pays for all three degrees,	\$	
including the initial Washington Mason		\$5 paid for the George		
Amount charged	\$	Does this amount include	[] yes [_] no
for annual dues?		the Grand Lodge per capita		
	1	and related assessments?		
Total amount of dues outstanding:		\$		
Amount outstanding in <u>current year</u> dues		\$		
Additional amount owed for one year in arrears		\$		
Additional amount owed for two or more years		\$		
District Deputy	Grand Master		 Date	



Lodge:	No	District
Located at:		
PAGE IS TO BE SUBMITTED TO GRAND LODGE BY (The remaining pages are to be retained by the District		
LODGE REVIEW SUMMARY		
Lodge Review Factor	Reviewer	Rating *
Lodge leadership and operations	DDGM	
Lodge vision and planning (including Excellence Award)	DDGM	
Ritual proficiency: words, delivery, floor work, education	DDGM/AGL/DR	tl .
Candidate and membership development & retention	DDGM	
Lodge education programs and participation in Grand Lodge and Maine Masonic		
College education programs	DER	
Fraternal and social programs	DDGM	
Community presence and charity	DDGM	
OVERALL LODGE RATING		
* Use a scale of one to ten, ten being the b The DDGM's overall rating of the Lodge is based upon the following observati		
Recommendations, if any, for consideration by Grand Lodge officers, commit	toos and related	hadiası
Recommendations, if any, for consideration by Grand Lodge Officers, commit	tees and related	boules.

District

Date

District Deputy Grand Master



 held by Past Masters Officers do not chair various committees while ascending the line No meeting agenda; Master always defers to the Secretary No officer meetings Unable to have Lodge social, fraternal and fundraising activities due to the lack of planning or volunteers No or minimal financial planning Violations of bylaws and Constitution Lodge never or seldom represented at District officer Mostly first time line officers Officers sometimes serve on committees while ascending the line Secretary prepares HIS agenda with minimal input from the Master Some officer meetings, but often bring up the same issues and usually deal with near term activities Struggle to get volunteers for Lodge activities Some weaknesses in Masonic protocol Rough annual budget and assessment of future financial 	Lodge:		No. District		
 Needs Improvement (1 – 3) Conflict apparent among officers / members. Several line officer chairs unfilled and others held by Past Masters Officers do not chair various committees while ascending the line No meeting agenda; Master always defers to the Secretary No officer meetings Unable to have Lodge social, fraternal and fundraising activities due to the lack of planning or volunteers No or minimal financial planning Violations of bylaws and Constitution Lodge never or seldom represented at District officer Average (4 – 7) Most officers work well together yet are not clear on their duties and responsibilities Most officers work well together; agree on the vision and priorities; are well grounded or their responsibilities Officers sometimes serve on committees while ascending the line Secretary prepares HIS agenda with minimal input from the Master Some officer meetings, but often bring up the same issues and usually deal with near term activities Struggle to get volunteers for Lodge activities Ample volunteers for Lodge activities Strong exemplification of proper Masonic protocol GL / IRS reports and bills 	Comments on the Basis for Assigning Lodge Review Ratings				
 Conflict apparent among officers / members. Several line officer chairs unfilled and others held by Past Masters Officers do not chair various committees while ascending the line No meeting agenda; Master always defers to the Secretary No officer meetings Unable to have Lodge social, fraternal and fundraising activities due to the lack of planning or volunteers No or minimal financial planning Violations of bylaws and Constitution Conflict apparent among officers work well together; yet are not clear on their duties and responsibilities Mostly first time line officers Mostly first time line officers Officers sometimes serve on committees while ascending the line Secretary prepares HIS agenda with minimal input from the Master Some officer meetings, but often bring up the same issues and usually deal with near term activities Struggle to get volunteers for Lodge activities Some weaknesses in Masonic protocol Rough annual budget and assessment of future financial Gofficers work well together; agree on the vision and priorities; are well grounded or their responsibilities Officers sometimes serve on committees while ascending the line Master Master leads the meeting with HIS agenda developed with the Secretary Good officer knowledge of Constitution and Lodge bylaws All line officers have job descriptions Ample volunteers for Lodge activities Strong exemplification of proper Masonic protocol GL / IRS reports and bills 	Lodge leadership and operations – DDGM Rating				
officers / members. Several line officer chairs unfilled and others held by Past Masters Officers do not chair various committees while ascending the line No meeting agenda; Master always defers to the Secretary No officer meetings Unable to have Lodge social, fraternal and fundraising activities due to the lack of planning or volunteers No or minimal financial planning Violations of bylaws and Constitution Lodge never or seldom represented at District officer vet are not clear on their duties and responsibilities vet are not clear on their duties and responsibilities vet are not clear on their duties and responsibilities vet are not clear on their duties and responsibilities Nostly first time line officers officers sometimes serve on committees while ascending the line Secretary prepares HIS agenda with minimal input from the Master Some officer meetings, but often bring up the same issues and usually deal with near term activities Struggle to get volunteers for Lodge activities Some weaknesses in Masonic protocol Rough annual budget and assessment of future financial	Needs Improvement (1 – 3)	Average (4 – 7)	Strong (8 – 10)		
,	 Conflict apparent among officers / members. Several line officer chairs unfilled and others held by Past Masters Officers do not chair various committees while ascending the line No meeting agenda; Master always defers to the Secretary No officer meetings Unable to have Lodge social, fraternal and fundraising activities due to the lack of planning or volunteers No or minimal financial planning Violations of bylaws and Constitution Lodge never or seldom represented at District officer meetings 	 Most officers work well together yet are not clear on their duties and responsibilities Mostly first time line officers Officers sometimes serve on committees while ascending the line Secretary prepares HIS agenda with minimal input from the Master Some officer meetings, but often bring up the same issues and usually deal with near term activities Struggle to get volunteers for Lodge activities Some weaknesses in Masonic protocol Rough annual budget and assessment of future financial needs 	 Officers work well together; agree on the vision and priorities; are well grounded on their responsibilities Officers chair or sit on social, adm. and building committees while ascending line Master leads the meeting with HIS agenda developed with the Secretary Good officer knowledge of Constitution and Lodge bylaws Regular officer meetings All line officers have job descriptions Ample volunteers for Lodge activities Strong exemplification of proper Masonic protocol 		

property • Lodge & building association sharing tax ID

association for Masonic-owned

No incorporated building

- Lodge meetings and events not added to the District calendar
- Many officer email addresses have not been added to MORI
- Officers and members do not appear aware of proper Masonic protocol

- payments sometimes late
- Sometimes represented at District officer meetings
- Some Lodge meetings and events are added to the District calendar
- Most officer email addresses have been added to MORI
- Officers and members frequently vary from proper Masonic protocol
- more officers or members at District officer meetings
- Lodge meetings and events are added to the District calendar
- All officer and many member email addresses have been added to MORI
- Lodge uses MORI for monthly reporting and dues processing
- Annual Lodge budgeting and financial planning
- Building Assn is incorporated and has separate Tax ID

Rating based upon the following observations:



Lodge vision and planning (including Excellence Award) – DDGM Rating .____

Needs Improvement (1 – 3)	Average (4 – 7)	Strong (8 – 10)
 Lodge is not pursing the Excellence Award; has not developed a vision for itself, a self-improvement plan or an activities schedule Officers are unaware of member interests and District / Grand Lodge assistance and resources available The Lodge does not develop an operating budget and has not identified opportunities and needs, as well as financial and other threats to long-term Lodge viability Lodge essentially runs from meeting to meeting without long-term direction Officers and members do not seek assistance in plotting a course forward 	 Lodge officers prepare and follow a skeletal annual plan (schedule) of activities Periodic officer planning meetings are held Lodge officers and members are interested and willing to invest time and resources to strengthen the Lodge and address its challenges and threats The Lodge is discussing its future as well as options and priorities to add Value to being a member of the Lodge as well as the Lodge being an important part of the community 	 Lodge is eagerly pursing the Excellence Award Lodge officers and members have a strong sense of a desired future direction of the Lodge Strategies and priorities have been established (with input from the membership) and resources alocated to strengthen multiple aspects of Lodge operations and activities Officer planning meetings are regularly held to schedule activities, assign officer and committee responsibilities Lodge optimizes participation in District-wide planning and scheduling The Lodge has identified financial needs and developed fundraising programs and other financial solutions, including the use of Charitable Foundation grants

Rating based upon the following observations:

Needs Improvement (1 – 3)	Average (4 – 7)	Strong (8 – 10)
 The Lodge does not have an organized approach to attract good men to the Craft The Lodge does not assign mentors to candidates and new members Candidates are not told about, encouraged to pursue, or assisted in competing the Masonic Rookie Award The Lodge does not reach out to non-participating members Little personal or formal 	 A few Lodge members periodically hold a fellowship night or other membership development activities on a Lodge or District basis, but there is minimal activity to regularly identify and pursue men of good report who might be interested in Masonry The Lodge has a base-line mentoring program and often takes new Masons to visit other Lodges 	 The Lodge regularly holds effective fellowship nights or other membership development activities that involve many Lodge members; potential candidates are invited to suppers, non-ritual educational programs and social events The Lodge has an effective mentoring program Candidates regularly complete the Masonic Rookie Award



- communication with members
- Minimal visitation of shut-in and other non-attending Brethren
- Little outreach before suspending a member for NPD
- Some candidates pursue the Masonic Rookie Award but more member support needed
- Officers sometimes reach out to members but not enough done to avoid suspending members for NPD
- Active personal outreach to inactive Brethren and those subject to possible suspension
- Prospective members invited to dinners and social activities

Rating based upon the following observations:

Fraternal and social programs – DDGM Rating			
Needs Improvement (1 – 3)	Average (4 – 7)	Strong (8 – 10)	
 The Lodge is cold and unwelcoming to visitors and even its own Brethren Members tend to think that Masonry is a "a man's organization" and does not offer programs for ladies, widows and children Lodge does not host its own or participate in District fraternal, fundraising and social activities Lodge does not travel as a body to other Lodges and Districts 	 The Lodge welcomes visiting Brethren and members enjoy being in each other's company Lodge officers and members sometimes participate in District activities Lodge members occasionally travel to other Lodges and Districts Lodge occasionally holds a program for ladies, widows or children 	 The Lodge is known for its strong fraternal relations, its practice of brotherly love and affection Brethren enjoy being in each other's company Lodge actively helps to plan and participate in District activities Lodge travels as a body to other Lodges and Districts Lodge hosts Table Lodges / smoker nights and other social and fraternal activities Lodge has an active program for ladies, widows and children 	

Rating based upon the following observations:

Needs Improvement (1 – 3) Average (4 – 7) Strong (8 - 10) • The community is generally • The community is generally • The community considers the unfamiliar with the presence aware of the Lodge but does not Lodge as being vital to the and activities of the Lodge consider it important to the fabric of society fabric of society • The Lodge does not participate • The Lodge actively participates in community fairs, events • Lodge participates in some in community fairs, events community fairs, events • Few Members are active in • Members are active in municipal government, public • Members are active in municipal municipal government, public

Community presence and charity – DDGM...... Rating



- safety, religious and social organizations
- The Lodge does not involve itself in town activities and does not employ any of the four Charitable Foundation grant programs
- The Lodge does not involve itself in addressing the needs of the community and its citizens
- government, public safety, religious and social organizations
- The Lodge utilizes multiple a Charitable Foundation grant program
- Lodge assistance is low key and is usually confined to only those associated with the Lodge
- safety, religious and social organizations
- The Lodge utilizes multiple (at least two of four) Charitable Foundation grant programs
- The Lodge regularly assists people and organizations in need of help and makes the Lodge hall available for other organizations and fundraising efforts



Lodge:		No District	
Located at:			
DISTRICT DEPUTY GRAND MASTER WITH INPUT FROM ASSISTANT GRAND LECTURER / DISTRICT RITUAL INSTRUCTOR REVIEW PAGES NINE THROUGH FOURTEEN ARE TO BE COMPLETED BY DECEMBER 31, WITH PAGE 9 PROVIDED TO THE DDGM AND PAGES NINE THROUGH FOURTEEN PROVIDED TO THE GRAND LECTURER OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE RITUAL PRESENTATION AND RELATED EDUCATION Ritual (page12) Floor Work (p 13) Education (p 14) OVERALL Rating			
Needs Improvement (1 – 3)	Average (4 – 7)	Strong (8 – 10)	
 Ritual generally delivered by line officers without feeling and with numerous errors and frequent prompting Past Masters or guest officers often fill in by holding primary offices during the first section and by delivering lectures and charges Candidates are not instructed in the meaning of the ritual or its application to their lives The Lodge does not have an Education officer, and does not use the Ritual Instructor's Manual, Pollard Plan booklets and candidate instructional videos 	 Ritual generally delivered by line officers with some errors and prompting Past Masters or guest officers occasionally fill in by delivering lectures The Lodge regularly uses at least one of: the Ritual Instructor's Manual, Pollard Plan booklets or candidate instructional videos Education officer not assigned but Lodge officers and members strive to provide a moderate level of ritual related education 	 Ritual is delivered by line officers with great feeling and minimal errors or prompting The source and meaning of sections of the ritual, and their application to a Mason's life are regularly explained when holding candidate lessons and during Lodge meetings The Lodge has an Education officer, and uses the Ritual Instructor's Manual, Pollard Plan booklets and candidate instructional videos 	
Rating based upon the following obse	rvations (Also factor in the review refl	ected on pages 10 through 14):	

District

Date

Assistant Grand Lecturer / DRI



District Deputy Grand Master / Assistant Grand Lecturer / District Ritual Instructor's Annual Review of Officer Proficiency in the Conferral of Degrees and Delivery of Other Ritual

0
The Lodge has worked [] EA [] FC [] MM candidates during the past 12 months.
I have observed degree presentations during the past year. The work has generally been rated as: [] Needs Improvement [] Average [] Strong
The elected and appointed officers conferred the degree rated by the Grand Lodge representative.
If no, what positions were substitutes? [] WM, [] SW, [] JW, [] SD, [] JD, [] SS, [] JS, [] MAR, [] CHAP, [] Lecture, [] Charge.
The Lodge has invited the Assistant Grand Lecturer / District Ritual Instructor to the Lodge for ritual instruction and education
RITUALISTIC WORK
Reception of the DDGM and Lodge Opening
 The DDGM is properly received into the Lodge and accorded appropriate honors. Needs Improvement Average Strong
Comments:
 The formal opening of the Lodge is correctly done with minimal ritual errors. Needs Improvement Average Strong Comments:
 3. If work is in a degree other than the Master Mason, the Lodge is called from the higher degree to the lower degree and back up again at the end of the degree work in accordance with page 220 of the cipher (2010 edition). [] Needs Improvement [] Average [] Strong Comments:
4. The candidate was properly prepared and clothed for the degree in a room that was neat and orderly. The preparation of the candidate was conducted in a serious and thoughtful manner with "due" explanation of what was about to occur. Proper ritual was used from memory when asking questions prior to the Entered Apprentice Degree and during his presentation to the SD. [] Needs Improvement [] Average [] Strong



Comments:

5. The candidate was properly examined [] Needs Improvement	d and received into the	e Lodge by the Senior Deacon. [] Strong
Comments:		
6. The circumambulations and examinat [] Needs Improvement Comments:	-	d WM were done proficiently. [] Strong
	akian fuana kha CM fau	
to receive his obligation. [] Needs Improvement Comments:		advancement to the altar and proper positioning [] Strong
8. The candidate is placed in proper pos his obligation. [] Needs Improvement Comments:	ition at the altar by the	e SD (and with no assistance by the SW) to receive [] Strong
9. The obligation is given clearly, with for [] Needs ImprovementComments:	eeling, and with minim	nal ritual errors. [] Strong
10. The demonstration of the due guard [] Needs Improvement Comments:		
11. The WM and SD give proper instruct entering or retiring from an opened Lod [] Needs Improvement Comments:	ge.	rd and the candidate is properly instructed on [] Strong

12. The second section is conducted in a solemn and dignified manner with the appropriate demeanor.



[] Needs Improvement	[] Average	[] Strong
Comments:		
13. The lectures and charges are given [] Needs Improvement	•	
Comments:		
14. The lectures and instructions to the [] Needs Improvement		,
· ·	[] Average	[] Strong
Comments:		
15. Prayers by the Chaplain are given fr [] Needs Improvement	om memory and are d	lelivered proficiently, clearly, and with feeling. [] Strong
Comments:	[]	. 100000
4C. The above to the condidate one of		aramama ada stala faalisaa
16. The charges to the candidate are given[] Needs Improvement	ven proficiently from r	nemory and with feeling. [] Strong
Comments:		
17. The Officers closed the Lodge proper	•	I. 1 Change
[] Needs Improvement	[] Average	[] Strong
Comments:		

OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE <u>RITUALISTIC WORK</u>

The work was done in a way that makes a meaningful and lasting positive impression upon the candidate. The work was conducted in a manner that conveyed the deep spiritual meaning of the degree being conferred.

Needs Improvement (1 – 3)	Average (4 – 7)	Strong (8 – 10)
At least 7 of the 17 items rated	 At least 10 of the 17 items were 	At least 10 of the 17 items were
as needs improvement and not	rated average or strong, not	rated strong, and none are
more than two are rated strong.	more than three are rated as	rated as needs improvement.
	needs improvement.	

The rating is also based upon the following observations made during the review period.



FLOOR WORK

1. Floor work indicates that there h members do not pass between the a [] Needs Improvement		and officers worked well together. Officers and ept in perambulations. [] Strong
Comments:		
2. All floor work is conducted in a d lessons taught in the degrees.[] Needs ImprovementComments:	lignified manner and	has a positive effect on the presentation of the [] Strong
Over	all Evaluation of t	he Floor Work
[] Needs Improvement (1-3)[] Average (4-7)[] Strong (8-10)	(Both items rated no (Both items rated as (Both items strong)	eeds improvement) verage or one item rated strong)
- 1	RITUAL EDUCATIO	N RATING
	or member (who did no	te of his questioner. Both the ot read questions), were well prepared for the
2. The Lodge employs the Ritual Instruction candidate on the degree lessons. [] Needs Improvement Comments:		Plan Booklets and degree videos to instruct the
3. The Lodge instructs the candidates at the degrees and their relevance to the [] Needs Improvement Comments:		the meaning of symbolism, allegory and ritual of [] Strong



OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE CANDIDATE'S RITUAL RELATED EDUCATION

The ritual related educational program work was conducted in a manner that conveyed the deep spiritual meaning of the degree being conferred.

 Some education is provided on the meaning of the degree lessons One of the MEALS produced instructional tools is used 	 An effective educational program is accorded the candidates, either in person or in open Lodge
 A mentor is provided to the candidate who provides some guidance, or the candidate is taken to other Lodges to witness the degrees and given some instruction Lodge speaks on Rookie Program but does not actively assist new Masons in pursing completion of the award 	 At least two of the MEALS produced instructional tools ar used A mentor is provided to the candidate by the time of the First Degree and he is taken to other Lodges to witness the degrees and given additional instruction Lodge members actively encourage and assist new Masons to complete Rookie Award requirements
(guidance, or the candidate is taken to other Lodges to witness the degrees and given some instruction Lodge speaks on Rookie Program but does not actively assist new Masons in pursing completion of

District

Date

DDGL / AGL / DRI



Lodge:	No	District
Located at:		
	•	

DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER REVIEW

PAGES FIFTEEN AND SIXTEEN ARE TO BE <u>COMPLETED BY THE DER</u> BY DECEMBER 31, AND <u>PROVIDED TO THE DDGM</u> AS WELL AS THE CHAIRMAN OF THE MASONIC EDUCATION AND LODGE SERVICES COMMITTEE

OVERALL REVIEW OF THE LODGE EDUCATION PROGRAM

This review is to consider whether the lodge offers or arranges for informative educational programs for members and candidates on a variety of Masonic and leadership subjects. Please note that educational initiatives to teach Masonic lessons associated with degree ritual, allegory and symbolism is to be assessed by the Assistant Grand Lecturer or District Ritual Instructor and is included in that portion of the Lodge's evaluation. However, this ritual related review is also an important component of the District Education Representative's consideration of candidates for the Raymond Rideout Award.

Needs Improvement (1 – 3)	Average (4 – 7)	Strong (8 – 10)
 No or minimal educational opportunities for members The Lodge has neither offered to host nor encouraged officers and members to attend Maine Masonic College / Dirigo Leadership courses The Lodge does not request and is not receptive to the DER presenting instructional programs before or during Lodge meetings The Lodge members are not urged to lead discussions and write articles on Masonic history, protocol and symbolism The Lodge does not use the Ritual Instructor's Manual and other candidate instructional videos to educate candidates and members on Masonic principles and lessons. 	 Moderate level of educational opportunities are afforded members A few Lodge members have attended Maine Masonic College / Dirigo Leadership courses The Lodge occasionally requests / allows the DER to present instructional programs before or during Lodge meetings The Lodge members have led discussions and written / presented papers on Masonic history, protocol and symbolism The Lodge occasionally uses the Ritual Instructor's Manual and candidate instructional videos to educate candidates and members on Masonic principles and lessons 	 Strong educational opportunities for members The Lodge has hosted / has asked to host one or more Maine Masonic College / Dirigo Leadership courses Lodge members are encouraged to and often attend available courses (above) The Lodge regularly requests the DER to present instructional programs before or during Lodge meetings Lodge members are urged to lead discussions and write articles on Masonic history, protocol and symbolism The Lodge regularly uses the Ritual Instructor's Manual and candidate instructional videos to educate candidates and members on Masonic principles and lessons



Rating based upon the following observations (Also factor in the separate review conducted by the District Education Representative for the Raymond Rideout Award):

District Education Officer	District	Date